# MLCC 2017 Regularization Networks I: Linear Models

Lorenzo Rosasco UNIGE-MIT-IIT

June 27, 2017

## **About this class**

- We introduce a class of learning algorithms based on *Tikhonov* regularization
- ▶ We study computational aspects of these algorithms .

### **Empirical Risk Minimization (ERM)**

- Empirical Risk Minimization (ERM): probably the most popular approach to design learning algorithms.
- General idea: considering the empirical error

$$\hat{\mathcal{E}}(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(y_i, f(x_i)),$$

as a proxy for the expected error

$$\mathcal{E}(f) = \mathbb{E}[\ell(y, f(x))] = \int dx dy p(x, y) \ell(y, f(x)).$$

# The Expected Risk is Not Computable

Recall that

 $\blacktriangleright$   $\ell$  measures the price we pay predicting f(x) when the true label is y

 $\blacktriangleright \ \mathcal{E}(f)$  cannot be directly computed, since p(x,y) is unknown

## From Theory to Algorithms: The Hypothesis Space

To turn the above idea into an actual algorithm, we:

- Fix a suitable hypothesis space H
- Minimize  $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$  over H

H should allow feasible computations and be *rich*, since the complexity of the problem is not known a priori.

### **Example: Space of Linear Functions**

The simplest example of H is the space of linear functions:

$$H = \{ f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} : \exists w \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ such that } f(x) = x^T w, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \}.$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Each function f is defined by a vector w

• 
$$f_w(x) = x^T w$$
.

## Rich Hs May Require Regularization

- ▶ If *H* is rich enough, solving ERM may cause overfitting (solutions highly dependent on the data)
- Regularization techniques restore stability and ensure generalization

## **Tikhonov Regularization**

Consider the Tikhonov regularization scheme,

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(f_w) + \lambda \|w\|^2 \tag{1}$$

It describes a large class of methods sometimes called Regularization Networks.

# The Regularizer

- $||w||^2$  is called *regularizer*
- It controls the stability of the solution and prevents overfitting
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\lambda$  balances the error term and the regularizer

### **Loss Functions**

- $\blacktriangleright$  Different loss functions  $\ell$  induce different classes of methods
- We will see common aspects and differences in considering different loss functions
- There exists no general computational scheme to solve Tikhonov Regularization
- ► The solution depends on the considered loss function

#### The Regularized Least Squares Algorithm

Regularized Least Squares: Tikhonov regularization

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^D} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(f_w) + \lambda \|w\|^2, \quad \hat{\mathcal{E}}(f_w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(y_i, f_w(x_i))$$
(2)

Square loss function:

$$\ell(y, f_w(x)) = (y - f_w(x))^2$$

We then obtain the RLS optimization problem (linear model):

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^D} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - w^T x_i)^2 + \lambda w^T w, \quad \lambda \ge 0.$$
(3)

### **Matrix Notation**

- The  $n \times d$  matrix  $X_n$ , whose rows are the input points
- The  $n \times 1$  vector  $Y_n$ , whose entries are the corresponding outputs.

With this notation,

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - w^T x_i)^2 = \frac{1}{n}||Y_n - X_n w||^2.$$

### Gradients of the ER and of the Regularizer

By direct computation,

 $\blacktriangleright$  Gradient of the empirical risk w. r. t. w

$$-\frac{2}{n}X_n^T(Y_n - X_n w)$$

• Gradient of the regularizer w. r. t. w

2w

## The RLS Solution

By setting the gradient to zero, the solution of  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{RLS}}$  solves the linear system

$$(X_n^T X_n + \lambda nI)w = X_n^T Y_n.$$

 $\lambda$  controls the *invertibility* of  $(X_n^T X_n + \lambda nI)$ 

## **Choosing the Cholesky Solver**

- Several methods can be used to solve the above linear system
- Cholesky decomposition is the method of choice, since

 $X_n^T X_n + \lambda I$ 

is symmetric and positive definite.

# **Time Complexity**

Time complexity of the method :

- Training:  $O(nd^2)$  (assuming n >> d)
- ▶ Testing: O(d)

## **Dealing with an Offset**

For linear models, especially in low dimensional spaces, it is useful to consider an *offset*:

$$w^T x + b$$

How to estimate b from data?

#### Idea: Augmenting the Dimension of the Input Space

- Simple idea: augment the dimension of the input space, considering  $\tilde{x} = (x, 1)$  and  $\tilde{w} = (w, b)$ .
- This is fine if we do not regularize, but if we do then this method tends to prefer linear functions passing through the origin (zero offset), since the regularizer becomes:

$$\|\tilde{w}\|^2 = \|w\|^2 + b^2.$$

### Avoiding to Penalize the Solutions with Offset

We want to regularize considering only  $\|w\|^2,$  without penalizing the offset.

The modified regularized problem becomes:

$$\min_{(w,b)\in\mathbb{R}^{D+1}}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - w^T x_i - b)^2 + \lambda \|w\|^2.$$

### Solution with Offset: Centering the Data

It can be proved that a solution  $w^*, b^*$  of the above problem is given by

$$b^* = \bar{y} - \bar{x}^T w^*$$

where

$$\bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i$$
$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

### Solution with Offset: Centering the Data

 $w^* \, \operatorname{solves}$ 

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^D} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i^c - w^T x_i^c)^2 + \lambda \|w\|^2.$$

where 
$$y_i^c = y - \overline{y}$$
 and  $x_i^c = x - \overline{x}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Note:** This corresponds to centering the data and then applying the standard RLS algorithm.

### Introduction: Regularized Logistic Regression

Regularized logistic regression: Tikhonov regularization

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(f_w) + \lambda \|w\|^2, \quad \hat{\mathcal{E}}(f_w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(y_i, f_w(x_i))$$
(4)

With the *logistic loss function*:

$$\ell(y, f_w(x)) = \log(1 + e^{-yf_w(x)})$$

### **The Logistic Loss Function**



Figure: Plot of the logistic regression loss function

## **Minimization Through Gradient Descent**

- ▶ The logistic loss function is differentiable
- The candidate to compute a minimizer is the *gradient descent (GD)* algorithm

### Regularized Logistic Regression (RLR)

- The regularized ERM problem associated with the logistic loss is called regularized logistic regression
- Its solution can be computed via gradient descent
- Note:

$$\nabla \hat{\mathcal{E}}(f_w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{-y_i e^{-y_i x_i^T w_{t-1}}}{1 + e^{-y_i x_i^T w_{t-1}}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{-y_i}{1 + e^{y_i x_i^T w_{t-1}}}$$

#### **RLR: Gradient Descent Iteration**

For  $w_0 = 0$ , the GD iteration applied to

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(f_w) + \lambda \|w\|^2$$

is

$$w_{t} = w_{t-1} - \gamma \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \frac{-y_{i}}{1 + e^{y_{i} x_{i}^{T} w_{t-1}}} + 2\lambda w_{t-1}\right)}_{a}$$

for  $t = 1, \ldots T$ , where

 $a = \nabla(\hat{\mathcal{E}}(f_w) + \lambda \|w\|^2)$ 

### Logistic Regression and Confidence Estimation

- The solution of logistic regression has a probabilistic interpretation
- It can be derived from the following model

$$p(1|x) = \underbrace{\frac{e^{x^T w}}{1 + e^{x^T w}}}_{h}$$

where h is called *logistic function*.

> This can be used to compute a *confidence* for each prediction

### **Support Vector Machines**

#### Formulation in terms of Tikhonov regularization:

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(f_w) + \lambda \|w\|^2, \quad \hat{\mathcal{E}}(f_w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(y_i, f_w(x_i))$$
(5)

With the *Hinge loss function*:

$$\ell(y, f_w(x)) = |1 - yf_w(x)|_+$$



## A more classical formulation (linear case)

$$w^* = \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |1-y_i w^\top x_i|_+ \, + \, \lambda \|w\|^2$$
 with  $\lambda = \frac{1}{C}$ 

## A more classical formulation (linear case)

$$w^* = \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d, \xi_i \ge 0} \|w\|^2 + \frac{C}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \text{ subject to}$$
$$y_i w^\top x_i \ge 1 - \xi_i \quad \forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}$$

## A geometric intuition - classification

In general do you have many solutions



What do you select?

# A geometric intuition - classification

Intuitively I would choose an "equidistant" line



## A geometric intuition - classification

Intuitively I would choose an "equidistant" line



## Maximum margin classifier

I want the classifier that

- classifies perfectly the dataset
- maximize the distance from its closest examples



### **Point-Hyperplane distance**

How to do it mathematically? Let w our separating hyperplane. We have

 $x = \alpha w + x_{\perp}$ 



**Point-Hyperplane distance**:  $d(x, w) = ||x_{\perp}||$ 

MLCC 2017

# Margin

An hyperplane w well classifies an example  $(x_i, y_i)$  if

▶ 
$$y_i = 1$$
 and  $w^T x_i > 0$  or  
▶  $y_i = -1$  and  $w^T x_i < 0$   
herefore  $x_i$  is well classified iff  $y_i w^T$ 

therefore  $x_i$  is well classified iff  $y_i w^\top x_i > 0$ Margin:  $m_i = y_i w^\top x_i$ Note that  $x_\perp = x - \frac{y_i m_i}{\|w\|} w$ 

### Maximum margin classifier definition

I want the classifier that

- classifies perfectly the dataset
- maximize the distance from its closest examples

$$w^* = \max_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \min_{1 \le i \le n} d(x_i, w)^2 \text{ subject to}$$
$$m_i > 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}$$

Let call  $\mu$  the smallest  $m_i$  thus we have

$$w^* = \max_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \min_{1 \le i \le n, \mu \ge 0} \|x_i\| - \frac{(x_i^\top w)^2}{\|w\|^2} \quad \text{subject to}$$
$$y_i w^\top x_i \ge \mu \quad \forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}$$

that is

# Computation of $w^*$

$$w^* = \max_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \min_{\mu \ge 0} -\frac{\mu^2}{\|w\|^2} \quad \text{subject to}$$
$$y_i w^\top x_i \ge \mu \quad \forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}$$

# $\label{eq:computation} \mbox{Computation of } w^*$

$$w^* = \max_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d, \mu \ge 0} \frac{\mu^2}{\|w\|^2} \quad \text{subject to}$$
$$y_i w^\top x_i \ge \mu \quad \forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}$$
Note that if  $y_i w^\top x_i \ge \mu$ , then  $y_i (\alpha w)^\top x_i \ge \alpha \mu$  and  $\frac{\mu^2}{\|w\|^2} = \frac{(\alpha \mu)^2}{\|\alpha w\|^2}$  for any  $\alpha \ge 0$ . Therefore we have to fix the scale parameter, in particular we choose  $\mu = 1$ .

# Computation of $w^*$

$$w^* = \max_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\|w\|^2} \quad \text{subject to}$$
$$y_i w^\top x_i \ge 1 \quad \forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}$$

# Computation of $w^*$

$$w^* = \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|w\|^2$$
 subject to  
 $y_i w^\top x_i \ge 1 \quad \forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}$ 

#### What if the problem is not separable?

We relax the constraints and penalize the relaxation

 $w^* = \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|w\|^2$  subject to  $y_i w^\top x_i \ge 1 \quad \forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}$ 



#### What if the problem is not separable?

We relax the constraints and penalize the relaxation

$$w^* = \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d, \xi_i \ge 0} \|w\|^2 + \frac{C}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \text{ subject to}$$
$$y_i w^\top x_i \ge 1 - \xi_i \quad \forall i \in \{1 \dots n\}$$

where C is a penalization parameter for the average error  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i$ .



### **Dual formulation**

It can be shown that the solution of the SVM problem is of the form

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i x_i$$

where  $\alpha_i$  are given by the solution of the following quadratic programming problem:

$$\max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n y_i y_j \alpha_i \alpha_j x_i^T x_j \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$
subj to  $\alpha_i \ge 0$ 

- $\blacktriangleright$  The solution requires the estimate of n rather than D coefficients
- α<sub>i</sub> are often sparse. The input points associated with non-zero coefficients are called *support vectors*

# Wrapping up

Regularized Empirical Risk Minimization

$$w^* = \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(y_i, w^\top x_i) + \lambda ||w||^2$$

Examples of Regularization Networks

▶ 
$$\ell(y,t) = (y-t)^2$$
 (Square loss) leads to Least Squares

▶ 
$$l(y,t) = log(1 + e^{-yt})$$
 (Logistic loss) leads to Logistic Regression

 $\blacktriangleright \ \ell(y,t) = |1-yt|_+$  (Hinge loss) leads to Maximum Margin Classifier

### **Next class**

... beyond linear models!